	TATES DISTRICT C TRICT OF MASSAC	CHUSETTS 204 ym
04	1142°	IVIL ACTIONNO'S DISTRICT COURT
MARK BROADLEY,)		1,428
Plaintiff)	√ DO	VIOLAT
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	IDGE NO.	RECEIPT # 568/8
vs. MAGISTRATE)JI		AMOUNT \$1
)		SUMMONS ISSUED (0)
MASHPEE NECK MARINA, INC.)		LOCAL RULE 4.1
Defendant)		WAIVER FORM
,		MCF ISSUED
	COMPLAINT	BY DPTY CLK FOLM
		DATE 6/22/04
J	NTRODUCTION	1. 1.1

1. This is an action for declaratory relief and maritime personal injuries, which occurred on or about August 25, 2002 when the plaintiff, Mark Broadley's foot became caught in a large gap between the main dock and a floating dock to which his boat was moored. The plaintiff asserts a cause of action against the defendant for personal injuries based upon negligence under the General Maritime Law.

JURISDICTION

2. This is a maritime case brought pursuant to 28 U.S.C., sec. 1333(1). Venue is proper in the District Court of Massachusetts pursuant to 28 U.S.C., sec. 1391(c).

PARTIES

- 3. The plaintiff, Mark Broadley, is of legal age and resides in Mashpee, Massachusetts.
- 4. The defendant, Mashpee Neck Marina, Inc., is a duly organized corporation with its principal place of business in Mashpee, Massachusetts, and doing business in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- On August 25, 2002, while at the defendant's marina, the plaintiff's foot became caught in a large gap between the main dock and a floating dock to which the plaintiff's boat was moored. The plaintiff's boat was in navigable waters and while the plaintiff was in the exercise of due care, he sustained serious personal injuries due to the negligence of the defendant, its agents, servants, or employees.
- 6. Plaintiff, as a requirement to lease the mooring space at defendant's marina, entered into a contract, which contains an exculpatory clause that the plaintiff alleges is overbroad, unconscionable, and does not waive claims for the defendant's negligence.

COUNT I

(Request for Declaratory Relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2201)

- 7. Paragraphs 1-6 are realleged and incorporated by reference.
- 8. The injuries sustained by the plaintiff were due to no fault of his own, but were caused by the negligence of the defendant.
- 9. The exculpatory clause at issue does not specifically exculpate the defendant from negligence. The clause is overbroad, unconscionable, and against public policy.
- 10. This cause of action is brought for declaratory relief.

REQUEST FOR RELIEF

- 1. That this Court, under Count I, enter declaratory judgment in favor of the plaintiff against the defendant resolving the controversy as to the legitimacy of the exculpatory clause set forth in paragraph 6 of this complaint by striking the clause.
- 2. For such other relief as this Court deems appropriate.

Filed 06/22/2004

(General Maritime Law Based Upon Negligence)

- Paragraphs 1-10 are realleged and incorporated by reference. 11.
- The injuries sustained by the plaintiff were due to no fault of his own, but were 12. caused by the negligence of the defendant.
- As a result of said injuries, the plaintiff has suffered great pain of body and anguish 13. of mind, incurred medical and hospital expenses, loss of time from his usual work, and has suffered and will suffer other damages as will be shown at the trial.
- This cause of action is brought for negligence under the General Maritime Law. 14.

REQUEST FOR RELIEF

- That this Court, under Count II, enter judgment in favor of the plaintiff against the 1. defendant.
- 2. For such other relief as this Court deems appropriate.

PLAINTIFF DEMANDS TRIAL BY JURY ON ALL COUNTS.

Respectfully submitted

By his attorney

THOMAS M. BOND, #545549

The Kaplan/Bond Group

Boston Fish Pier

West Building, Suite 304

P. O. Box 1404

Boston, MA 02205-1404

(617) 261-0080

Dated: Jone 21, 2604

JS 44 (Rev. 3/99)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1976, is feetilized for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	· •	•	DEFENDANTS	200	" OFFICE
M	ark Broadley		Mashpee Neck	Marina, Inc.	1 JUN 22 ~
(b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE		le		FIRST LISTED DEFENDANT	S ONLY)
(C) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME, Thomas M. Bond	ADDRESS, AND TELEPHONE NUMBER)		ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN)		
Kaplan/Bond Gro	NID.				
•	r, West Building, Suite	304	101	1/90	
Boston, MA 0221	0		U4 1	1420	
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY	III. CIT	Diversity Cases Only)	A	ACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX FOR PLAINTIFF IND ONE BOX FOR DEFENDANT)
□ 1 U.S. Government - Plaintiff		c	litizen of This State		or Principal Place ☐ 4 ☐ 4 ☐ 4 ☐ 1
© 2 U.S. Government Defendant	□ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties	c	itizen of Another State D		and Principal Place D 5 D 5
	in Item III)	C	itizen or Subject of a Foreign Country		
	T (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)				
CONTRACT	TORTS		FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
	Liability 240 Marine Product Liability 370 Other Frau 371 Truth in Lei 355 Motor Vehicle 380 Other Pers 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 385 Property D 360 Other Personal Injury 360 Other Personal Injury 361 Civil Rights 510 Motions to Sentence HABEAS Conditions 530 General 540 Mandamus 550 Civil Rights 550 Prison Co. 444 Welfare 540 Mandamus 550 Civil Rights 550 Prison Co. 445 Welfare 540 Mandamus 550 Civil Rights 550 Prison Co. 446 Other Civil Rights 550 Civil Rights 550 Prison Co. 447 Welfare 540 Mandamus 540 Mandamus 550 Civil Rights 550 Prison Co. 448 Welfare 540 Mandamus 540 Mandamus 550 Civil Rights 550 Prison Co. 440 Other Civil Rights 550 Prison Co. 441 Welfare 540 Mandamus 540 Mandamus 550 Civil Rights 550 Prison Co. 442 Civil Rights 540 Mandamus 540 Mandamus 550 Civil Rights 550 Prison Co. 440 Other Civil Rights 550 Civil Rights 55	njury — practice practice plury — ability personal fuct Liability OPERTY d d nding onal samage ability Vacate PUS: aity a dition AN "X" IN (4 Reinstat Reopen	led (specify) JNG AND WRITE BRIEF STATEME	listrict e Multidistri Litigation	400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. 480 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 810 Selective Service 850 Secunities/Commodities/Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes 890 Other Statutory Actions Appeal to District Judge from 7 Magistrate Judgment 100 Page 100
28 U.S.C. sec.	DO NOT CITE JURISDICTIONAL STATUTES U	NLESS DIVER	SITY)		•
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS AC UNDER FR.C.P. 23	TION	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES o	nty if demanded in complaint: ND: X□ YES □ NO
VIII.RELATED CASE(JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER	
FON OFFICE USE ONLY	4 SIGNATURE OF	ATTORNEY OF	FRECORD		
DECEMENT #	AMOUNIT APPLICATION	•			

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

TI E OE C	ASE (NAI	ME OF FIRST PARTY ON EACH	SIDE ONLY)		A PARTIED
TLE OF C	MOL (IAN	Mark Broadley v. M	ashpee Neck Mari	na, Inc.	- MS OUR
			-		SUIT CODE MATERION THE CIVIL
		THE CASE BELONGS BASE	D UPON THE NUMBERED	MAI DRE OF	D 15: ~
COVER SH		E LOCAL RULE 40.1(A)(1)).			U.S. DISTON
1.		60, 410, 470, R.23, REGARDLE			USTRICT COURT
<u> </u>	. 11 7:	95, 368, 400, 440, 441–444, 540, 40, 790, 791, 820*, 830*, 840*, 8	, 550, 555,625, 710, <i>7</i> 20, 73 350, 890, 892-894, 895, 950.		o complete AO' 128/or AO 126'/ patent, trademark or copyright cas
111	3	10, 120, 130, 140, 151, 190, 210 15, 320, 330, 340, 345, 350, 355 80, 385, 450, 891.), 230, 240, 245, 290, 310, 5, 360, 362, 365, 370, 371,		
	/. 2 6	20, 422, 423, 430, 460, 510, 530 90, 810, 861-865, 870, 871, 875	0, 610, 620, 630, 640, 6 50, 6 i, 900 .	60,	
v	'. 1	50, 152, 153.			
	IOR ACTIO	ON BETWEEN THE SAME PAR	TIES AND BASED ON THE	SAME CLAIM	NEVER BEEN FILED IN THIS
COURT?			,	YES	(NO)
٠		and all control of the control of th	THE CONSTITUTIONAL IT	Y OF AN ACT	OF CONGRESS AFFECTING THE
DOES THE	E COMPL ITEREST	AINT IN THIS CASE QUESTION 7 (SEE 28 USC §2403)	THE CONSTITUTION CO.		_
				YES	(NO)
1F SO, 1S	THE U.S.	L OR AN OFFICER, AGENT OF			
				YES	(NO)
IS THIS C	ASE REC	UIRED TO BE HEARD AND DE	TERMINED BY A DISTRICT	COURT OF	THREE JUDGES PURSUANT TO T
28 USC §	22847 ~	· .		YES	NO
COMMON	WEALTH	ARTIES IN THIS ACTION, EXC I OF MASSACHUSETTS ("GOV I (SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(D)).	LUDING GOVERNMENTAL PERNMENTAL AGENCIES")	AGENCIES C RESIDING I	DF THE UNITED STATES AND THE IN MASSACHUSETTS RESIDE IN T
•		•	(YES)	МО
	A.	IF YES, IN WHICH DIVISION D	O ALL OF THE NON-GOVE	ERNMENTAL	PARTIES RESIDE?
	7	EASTERN DIVISION	CENTRAL DIVISIO		WESTERN DIVISION
	В.		O THE MAJORITY OF THE S. RESIDING IN MASSACHI	PLAINTIFFS (USETTS RES	OR THE ONLY PARTIES, EXCLUDIDE?
		EASTERN DIVISION	CENTRAL DIVISIO	Ж	WESTERN DIVISION
		TÜT			•
LEASE TY	PE OR P	Thomas M Pand			
LEASE TY	PE OR PI	Thomas M. Bond			D
PLEASE TY TTORNEY'	S NAME	Thomas M. Bond	West Building, S	uite3304	Boston, MA 02210